

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,840	01/07/2002	Damien Rosney	1890-0018 1494	
759	07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Nixon Peabody LLP			NGUYEN, VI X	
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 800 McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/936,840	ROSNEY ET AL.	\mathcal{A}		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Victor X Nguyen	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ar</u>	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the me	erits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 6-13 is/are pending in the application	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1 and 6-13 are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-1	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Sta	ge		
application from the International Bureau	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	; 0.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 !nterview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		2)		

Application/Control Number: 09/936,840 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1 and 6-12, drawn to a surgical device, classified in class 606, subclass

213.

II. Claim 13, drawn to a minimally invasive surgery device, classified in class 604,

subclass 116.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are

not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions have different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

The application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species 1

Figs. 2-4

Species 2

Figs. 5-7

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn 💋 July 10, 2004

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Julian M. Moo